ON COMPACT COTTON-PARALLEL THREE-MANIFOLDS

Ivo Terek

The Ohio State University

January 6th, 2024 8:30 a.m. – 8:50 a.m. PST

AMS Special Session on Metric Geometry and Topology, II 2024 Joint Mathematics Meeting January 3rd to January 6th, 2024, San Francisco, CA

These slides can also be found at

https://www.asc.ohio-state.edu/terekcouto.1/texts/JMM_slides_january2024.pdf

Conformal flatness

We start by recalling the notion of conformal flatness:

Definition

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, \mathbf{g}) is conformally flat if every $x \in M$ has an open neighborhood $U \subseteq M$ and a smooth function $\rho \colon U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the manifold $(U, \mathbf{e}^{2\rho}\mathbf{g})$ is flat.

If the signature of g is (p,q), p+q=n, then the conformal class of g determines a reduction of the structure group of the frame bundle of M from $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ to $\mathrm{CO}(p,q)$. Conformal flatness of (M,g) amounts to integrability of this $\mathrm{CO}(p,q)$ -structure.

When dim $M \ge 4$, conformal flatness is controlled by the Weyl curvature tensor W: (M, g) is conformally flat if and only if W = 0.

In the case where dim M=3, it is controlled by the Cotton tensor C instead: (M,g) is conformally flat if and only if C=0.

The Cotton tensor

But what is the Cotton tensor?

Definition

Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Then:

(a) The Schouten tensor is P given by

$$P = Ric - \frac{scal}{2(n-1)}g.$$

(b) The Cotton tensor is C given by

$$C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) = (\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} P)(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) - (\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}} P)(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}),$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Note: P is the "div $-d \circ tr$ "-less part of Ric, and $C = d^{\nabla}P$.

Properties of C

Here are the three properties characteristic to C:

$$\bullet \ C(\textbf{X},\textbf{Y},\textbf{Z}) + C(\textbf{Y},\textbf{X},\textbf{Z}) = 0;$$
 (clear)

- $\bullet \ C(\textbf{X},\textbf{Y},\textbf{Z}) + C(\textbf{Y},\textbf{Z},\textbf{X}) + C(\textbf{Z},\textbf{X},\textbf{Y}) = 0; \ \ \text{(6 terms cancel in pairs)}$
- $\bullet \ tr_g\big((\textbf{X},\textbf{Z}) \mapsto C(\textbf{X},\textbf{Y},\textbf{Z})\big) = 0. \hspace{1cm} (div\, P = d(tr_gP) \ \text{in disguise})$

With this in place, the condition we will discuss today, focusing on the three-dimensional case, is $\nabla C = 0$.

A three-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is sometimes called essentially conformally symmetric if $\nabla C = 0$, but $C \neq 0$.

We begin with an example:

Example (Conformally symmetric pp-wave manifold)

Given any smooth function $\mathfrak{a}\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, consider the Lorentzian manifold

$$(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}) = (\mathbb{R}^3, (x^3 + \mathfrak{a}(t)x)dt^2 + dt ds + dx^2).$$

Important facts about $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$:

- ∂_s is a null parallel field, spanning a rank-one distribution \mathfrak{D} ;
- Ric = $-3x dt \otimes dt$, and the Ricci operator $-6x dt \otimes \partial_s$ is \mathfrak{D} -valued;
- $C = 3(dt \wedge dx) \otimes dt$, and so $\mathfrak{D}_p = \{u \in T_p \widehat{M} \mid C_p(u,\cdot,\cdot) = 0\}.$

Finally, $\operatorname{Iso}(\widehat{M},\widehat{\mathsf{g}})$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes_{\rho} \mathbb{R}^2$ (where $\rho(-1) = -\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$) consisting of the triples (ε, p, r) with $\mathfrak{a}(\varepsilon t + p) = \mathfrak{a}(t)$, acting on \widehat{M} via $(\varepsilon, p, r) \cdot (t, s, x) = (\varepsilon t + p, \varepsilon s + r, x)$.

There are no subgroups $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{Iso}(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ producing compact quotients \widehat{M}/Γ , as $(t, s, x) \mapsto x$ would induce a continuous unbounded function $\widehat{M}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$.

Example (Conformally symmetric pp-wave manifold)

Given any smooth function $\mathfrak{a}\colon\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$, consider the Lorentzian manifold

$$(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}) = (\mathbb{R}^3, (x^3 + \mathfrak{a}(t)x)dt^2 + dt ds + dx^2).$$

Important facts about $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$:

- ∂_s is a null parallel field, spanning a rank-one distribution \mathfrak{D} ;
- Ric = $-3x dt \otimes dt$, and the Ricci operator $-6x dt \otimes \partial_s$ is \mathfrak{D} -valued;
- $C = 3(dt \wedge dx) \otimes dt$, and so $\mathfrak{D}_p = \{u \in T_p \widehat{M} \mid C_p(u, \cdot, \cdot) = 0\}.$

The above example is locally universal [1]:

Theorem (García-Río et al., 2014)

Let (M,g) be a pseudo-Riemannian three-manifold satisfying $\nabla C=0$ and $C\neq 0$. Then, reversing g if needed, any point in M has a neighborhood isometric to an open subset of $(\widehat{M},\widehat{g})$, for some suitable choice of \mathfrak{a} .

The structure theorem's proof sketch

Their argument consisted in three big steps:

Step 1: Proving that (M, g) must carry a null parallel distribution \mathfrak{D} , by discussing the possibilities for the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the Cotton operator (associated with the Cotton-York density, specific to $\dim M = 3$).

Step 2: Invoking Walker's Theorem about canonical coordinates adapted to degenerate parallel distributions on pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. For rank-one null parallel distributions on three-manifolds, we have

$$g = \kappa(t, s, x) dt^2 + dt ds + dx^2.$$

Step 3: Solving the PDE for κ corresponding to the condition $\nabla C = 0$ for the above metric, and then performing a sequence of suitable coordinate changes, it follows that

$$g = (x^3 + \mathfrak{a}(t)x) dt^2 + dt ds + dx^2.$$

General conclusions

As a consequence of this local structure theorem,

everything that holds on $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$, holds locally on (M, g).

Here are some explicit conclusions:

- (M, g) must be Lorentzian (or anti-Lorentzian);
- the distinguished null parallel rank-one distribution \mathcal{D} associated with (M, g) via C is explicitly given by $\mathcal{D}_x = \{u \in \mathcal{T}_x M \mid C_x(u, \cdot, \cdot) = 0\}$, for every $x \in M$.
- the connection induced by (M, g) in the distribution \mathcal{D} is flat.
- the Ricci operator of (M, g) is \mathcal{D} -valued;
- the scalar curvature of (M, g) vanishes.

The algebraic structure of C

Inspired by the expression $C = 3(dt \wedge dx) \otimes dt$, valid in $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$, we have:

Lemma

Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a three-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean vector space, $C \neq 0$ be a Cotton-like tensor on V, and $\mathcal{D} = \{u \in V \mid C(u, \cdot, \cdot) = 0\}$. Then:

- (a) \mathcal{D} consists only of null vectors, and thus dim $\mathcal{D} \leq 1$.
- (b) dim $\mathbb{D}=1$ if and only if $C=(u\wedge v)\otimes u$, for some $u\in \mathbb{D}\smallsetminus\{0\}$ and unit vector $v\in \mathbb{D}^\perp$.
- (c) In (b), u is unique up to sign and v is unique modulo \mathfrak{D} .

Proof.

Linear Algebra $\stackrel{\cdot\cdot}{\smile}$



The main result

Theorem (T., 2023)

A compact three-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold with parallel Cotton tensor must be conformally flat.

Proof.

Let (M^3, g) have $\nabla C = 0$, but $C \neq 0$.

Pull back all the geometry of (M, \mathbf{g}) to its universal covering manifold \widetilde{M} , so that the covering projection $\pi \colon \widetilde{M} \to M$ becomes a local isometry between $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\mathbf{g}})$ and (M, \mathbf{g}) .

Write $M = \widetilde{M}/\Gamma$, for a group $\Gamma \cong \pi_1 M$ acting freely and properly discontinuously on $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ by deck isometries.

As \widetilde{M} is simply-connected, there is a smooth vector field \mathbf{u} and a rough vector field \mathbf{v} such that $C = (\mathbf{u} \wedge \mathbf{v}) \otimes \mathbf{u}$ on \widetilde{M} .

The main result

Proof. (cont'd)

As \mathcal{D} is parallel, item (c) of our previous Lemma tells us that:

- (i) \mathbf{u} is a null parallel field spanning \mathfrak{D} ;
- (ii) every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ pushes **u** forward onto either **u** or $-\mathbf{u}$.

Next, the fact that Ric is self-adjoint and \mathcal{D} -valued allows us to write $\operatorname{Ric} = -f \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$, for some smooth function $f : \widetilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, it follows that $C = (\mathbf{u} \wedge \nabla f) \otimes \mathbf{u}$.

Now, Γ -invariance of Ric and of $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$ implies Γ -invariance of f.

Hence, f survives as a smooth function on the quotient $\widetilde{M}/\Gamma=M$.

If M were compact, f would have a critical point x: then $(\nabla f)_x = 0$ means that $C_x = 0$, and so C = 0 (because $\nabla C = 0$).

References

- [1] E. Calviño Louzao, E. García-Río, J. Seoane-Bascoy, R. Vásquez-Lorenzo, **Three-dimensional conformally symmetric manifolds**, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **196** (2014), no. 6, pp. 1661–1670.
- [2] I. Terek, Conformal flatness of compact three-dimensional Cotton-parallel manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. **152** (2024), no. 2, pp. 797–800.
- [3] A. G. Walker, Canonical forms II. Parallel partially null planes, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), 1:147–152, 1950.

Thank you for your attention!



(scan here for more on my research)