

CODAZZI TENSORS IN HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

(JOINT WORK WITH JAMES MARSHALL REBER)

Ivo Terek

The Ohio State University

April 14th, 2024

12:10 p.m. – 12:40 p.m. EST

Graduate Student Topology and Geometry Conference
April 12th to April 14th, 2024, Michigan State University

These slides can also be found at

https://www.asc.ohio-state.edu/terekcouth.1/texts/GSTGC_slides_april2024.pdf

The covariant exterior derivative

The exterior derivative on a smooth manifold M is a collection of operators $d: \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M)$, characterized by **the Palais formula**:

$$d\omega(X_0, \dots, X_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i-1} X_i(\omega(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)) \\ + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k).$$

Whenever E is a vector bundle over M , we may consider **E -valued forms** and set $\Omega^k(M; E) = \Omega^k(M) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Gamma(E)$. Given a linear connection $\nabla: \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$, we may define the **covariant exterior derivative** $d^\nabla: \Omega^k(M; E) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M; E)$ by

$$d^\nabla \omega(X_0, \dots, X_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i-1} \nabla_{X_i}(\omega(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)) \\ + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k).$$

The covariant exterior derivative

When $E = M \times \mathbb{R}$ is the trivial line bundle over M and ∇ is the standard flat connection on E , then $\Omega^k(M; E) = \Omega^k(M)$ and $d^\nabla = d$.

But in general, it is **no longer true that $(d^\nabla)^2 = 0$** .

In fact, for $\psi \in \Omega^0(M; E) = \Gamma(E)$, we have that:

$$[(d^\nabla)^2\psi](X, Y) = R^\nabla(X, Y)\psi$$

The curvature is in fact enough to describe other higher powers of d^∇ :

$$[(d^\nabla)^3\psi](X, Y, Z) = R^\nabla(X, Y)\nabla_Z\psi + R^\nabla(Y, Z)\nabla_X\psi + R^\nabla(Z, X)\nabla_Y\psi$$

Even higher:

$$\begin{aligned} [(d^\nabla)^4\psi](X, Y, Z, W) &= \{R^\nabla(X, Y), R^\nabla(Z, W)\}\psi \\ &+ \{R^\nabla(Z, X), R^\nabla(Y, W)\}\psi + \{R^\nabla(X, W), R^\nabla(Y, Z)\}\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Codazzi tensor fields and examples

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold and ∇ be its Levi-Civita connection.

Definition

A **Codazzi tensor field** on (M, g) is a twice-covariant symmetric tensor field A on M with $d^\nabla A = 0$, when A is regarded as a T^*M -valued 1-form.

Explicitly, A is Codazzi if and only if

$$(\nabla_X A)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y A)(X, Z)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ or, equivalently, if ∇A is fully symmetric.

Example (1)

If A is **parallel**, then A is Codazzi. In particular, if $A = \lambda g$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example (2)

(M, g) has **harmonic curvature** if and only if Ric is a Codazzi tensor field, in view of $\text{div } R = d^\nabla \text{Ric}$.

Codazzi tensor fields and examples

Definition: A is Codazzi $\iff (\nabla_X A)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y A)(X, Z)$

Example (3)

(M, g) has **harmonic Weyl curvature** if and only if Sch is a Codazzi tensor field, in view of $\operatorname{div} W = d^\nabla \operatorname{Sch}$.

Example (4)

If (M, g) has constant sectional curvature K , and $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is arbitrary, then $A_f = \operatorname{Hess} f + Kfg$ is Codazzi. (Uses $d^\nabla \operatorname{Hess} f = R(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla f, \cdot)$.)

Every Codazzi tensor field on (M, g) is locally of the form A_f for some f .

Example (5)

If (M^3, g) is a gradient-type Ricci soliton — that is, $\operatorname{Ric} + \operatorname{Hess} f = \lambda g$ for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ — then $A = e^{-f}(\operatorname{Ric} - \operatorname{scal} g/2)$ is Codazzi.

Codazzi tensor fields and examples

Example (6)

If (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is a Riemannian manifold and M is a two-sided hypersurface carrying a unit normal field ξ and second fundamental form \mathbb{II} — so that $\bar{g}(S(X), Y) = \mathbb{II}(X, Y)\xi$ for the shape operator S of M — then

$$[\bar{R}(X, Y)Z]^\perp = [(d^\nabla \mathbb{II})(X, Y)Z]\xi \quad (\text{Ricci equation})$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

If (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) has constant sectional curvature K , then

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = K(\bar{g}(Y, Z)X - \bar{g}(X, Z)Y) \quad (\bar{R} = K\bar{g} \wedge \bar{g})$$

is tangent to M .

Hence \mathbb{II} is Codazzi.

Some of what is known

Here are some well-known results on Codazzi tensors in (M, g) :

- Codazzi operators — obtained from Codazzi tensors via g — **commute with the Ricci operator** (Bourguignon '81).
- For a Codazzi tensor with constant eigenvalues, all **eigendistributions are integrable and have totally geodesic leaves**. (Widely well-known.)
- On the open set consisting of points admitting neighborhoods on which the eigenvalue functions are smooth and with constant multiplicities, all **eigendistributions are integrable and have totally umbilic leaves** (Derdzinski, '80).
- If a Codazzi tensor has $\dim M$ mutually distinct eigenvalues, then **all Pontryagin forms of M vanish** (Derdzinski-Shen, '83).
- If a Lie group equipped a left-invariant Riemannian metric has a non-parallel Codazzi tensor field, then it has both **strictly positive and strictly negative sectional curvatures** (d'Atri, '85).

Reductive homogeneous spaces

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is **homogeneous** if the natural action of $\text{Iso}(M, g)$ on M is transitive. Homogeneous spaces can always be expressed in the form G/H , where G is a Lie group and H is a closed subgroup of G .

Definition

A homogeneous space G/H is **reductive** if there exists a vector-space direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, with \mathfrak{m} being **$\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant**.

The projection $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$ induces an isomorphism $d\pi_e: \mathfrak{m} \cong T_{eH}(G/H)$.

This means that in the same way the geometry of a connected Lie group G is controlled by its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the geometry of a reductive homogeneous space G/H is **controlled through \mathfrak{m}** .

Projecting the Lie bracket in \mathfrak{g} onto \mathfrak{m} , we obtain a **nonassociative algebra** $(\mathfrak{m}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{m}})$.

Correspondences for reductive homogeneous spaces

Fact: the G -equivariant sections of a G -equivariant smooth fiber bundle $E \rightarrow G/H$ are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of the fiber E_{eH} which are fixed by H . (If $\phi \in E_{eH}$ is fixed, set $\psi_{gH} = g \cdot \phi$.)

Examples:

- G -invariant vector fields on G/H are in one-to-one correspondence with elements in \mathfrak{m} which are fixed by $\text{Ad}(H)$.
- G -invariant tensor fields on G/H are in one-to-one correspondence with $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant tensors (of the same type) on \mathfrak{m} .
- G -invariant distributions on G/H are in one-to-one correspondence with $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant vector subspaces of \mathfrak{m} . A G -invariant distribution \mathcal{P} on G/H is integrable if and only if \mathcal{P}_{eH} is closed under $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ (Tondeur, '65).

Lastly, G -invariant connections ∇ on G/H are in one-to-one correspondence with $\text{Ad}(H)$ -equivariant multiplications $\alpha: \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ (Nomizu, '54).

What happens in \mathfrak{m}

Putting all of this together, we see that a twice-covariant G -invariant tensor field A on G/H is Codazzi if and only if

$$\alpha(X, A)(Y, Z) = \alpha(Y, A)(X, Z)$$

holds in \mathfrak{m} .

$(\alpha(X, A)(Y, Z) \doteq -A(\alpha(X, Y), Z) - A(Y, \alpha(X, Z)))$ corresponds to ∇A .

Diagonalizing A , we may write

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_r,$$

where \mathfrak{m}_i is the eigenspace associated with λ_i , and we order $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_r$.

Definition

A subalgebra \mathfrak{k} of \mathfrak{m} is called **totally geodesic** if \mathfrak{k} is closed under α , that is, $\alpha(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{k}$ whenever $X, Y \in \mathfrak{k}$.

The algebraic structure of Codazzi tensors in G/H

Theorem (Marshall Reber–T., '23)

Whenever A is a G -invariant Codazzi tensor field on G/H , there is an eigenspace decomposition $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_r$ into *mutually orthogonal $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant totally geodesic subalgebras* of $(\mathfrak{m}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{m}})$, and the compatibility condition

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 \langle [X_i, Y_j]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z_k \rangle + (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^2 \langle [X_i, Z_k]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y_j \rangle = 0 \quad (\dagger)$$

holds for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Conversely, if a direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_r$ into mutually orthogonal $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant vector subspaces is given and (\dagger) holds, any choice of mutually distinct real constants $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ gives rise to a G -invariant Codazzi tensor field on G/H via $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathfrak{m}_i \times \mathfrak{m}_i}$.

In addition, $\nabla A \neq 0$ if and only if there are mutually distinct indices i, j, k with $\langle X_i, [Y_j, Z_k]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle \neq 0$, in which case A has ≥ 3 distinct eigenvalues.

The canonical connection

The **canonical connection of second kind** on G/H is the connection ∇^0 corresponding to the zero product in \mathfrak{m} . Its curvature tensor is given by $R^0(X, Y)Z = -[[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{h}}, Z]$.

In view of the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{\text{cyc}} [[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{h}}, Z] + \sum_{\text{cyc}} [[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z] = 0,$$

we see that $(\mathfrak{m}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is a Lie algebra if and only if ∇^0 satisfies the first Bianchi identity.

We can also define the sectional curvature K^0 by

$$K^0(\mathbb{R}X \oplus \mathbb{R}Y) = \frac{\langle R^0(X, Y)Y, X \rangle}{\|X\|^2\|Y\|^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle^2}.$$

To state our next result, we consider the **difference curvature** $K^d = K - K^0$.

\exists Codazzi \implies mixed-sign curvatures

Theorem (Marshall Reber–T., '23)

If G/H has a G -invariant Codazzi tensor field A with $\nabla A \neq 0$, the difference sectional curvature K^d assumes *both positive and negative values*.

Briefly, the proof consists in carefully analyzing the expression

$$K^d(\Pi) = \frac{2}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2} \sum_k (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \|[X_i, Y_j]_k\|^2,$$

for $\Pi = \mathbb{R}X_i \oplus \mathbb{R}Y_j$, and choosing suitable indices i and j .

Example

When G/H is *naturally reductive* (i.e., $\langle [X, Y]_m, Z \rangle + \langle Y, [X, Z]_m \rangle = 0$), every Codazzi tensor is parallel. Indeed, in this case one has

$$K^d(\Pi) = \frac{1}{4} \|[X, Y]_m\|^2 \geq 0$$

whenever $\{X, Y\}$ is an orthonormal basis of Π .

The bigger picture

Recall: $\operatorname{div} R = d^\nabla \operatorname{Ric}$ holds for any Riemannian manifold (M, g) .

Back to harmonic curvature: $\operatorname{div} R = 0$.

Conjecture (Aberaouze–Boucetta, '22)

Any homogeneous Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature must have parallel Ricci tensor.

Here are some instances on where the conjecture is true:

- In dimension 4 (Podesta–Spiro, '95; Haji-Badali–Zaeim, '15)
- When M is S^n or $\mathbb{R}P^n$ (Peng–Qian, '16)
- When M is in a certain class of compact Lie groups (Wu–Sun, '22)
- When M is naturally reductive (Marshall Reber–T., '23).

Some references

- [1] J. E. d'Atri, **Codazzi tensors and harmonic curvature for left invariant metrics**, *Geom. Dedicata*, vol. **19** (1985), no. 3, pp. 229–236.
- [2] A. Derdzinski and C. L. Shen, **Codazzi tensor fields, curvature, and Pontryagin forms**, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, vol. **47** (1983), no. 1, pp. 15–26.
- [3] J. Marshall Reber and I. Terek, **Codazzi tensor fields in reductive homogeneous spaces**. To appear in *Results. Math.* (Preprint available at [arXiv:2306.07444](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.07444).)
- [4] K. Nomizu, **Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces**, *Amer. J. Math.*, vol. **76** (1954), pp. 33–65.
- [5] P. Tondeur, **Invariant subbundles of the tangentbundle of a reductive homogeneous space**, *Math. Z.*, vol. **89** (1965), pp. 420–421.

Thank you for your attention!



(scan here for more
on my research)